

Accurate Values for the Nonrelativistic Energies of the Lowest Singlet and Triplet S-States of the ^4He -Isotop

Heinz Kleindienst, Gerhard Pauli, and Wolfgang Müller*

Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstr. 1, D-4000 Düsseldorf, Federal Republic of Germany

Accurate lower and upper bounds for the nonrelativistic lowest energies 1E_0 and 3E_0 of the singlet and triplet-system of the ^4He -Isotop are calculated with the linearized method of variance minimization. The same was done for 1E_1 the energy of the first excited S-state 2^1S .

The results especially for 1E_0 and 3E_0 in a.u. are

$$-2.9033076997_5 \leq ^1E_0 \leq -2.9033076921_8$$

$$-2.1749324263_7 \leq ^3E_0 \leq -2.1749324245_9$$

i.e. the values are determined with an absolute error smaller than 0.00167 cm^{-1} for 1E_0 and 0.00039 cm^{-1} for 3E_0 .

Key words: Eigenvalue problems, nonrelativistic energies of the ^4He -Isotop, nuclear motion.

1. Introduction

In a previous work [1] the groundstate energy 1E_0 of the He-atom in the infinite nuclear mass approximation was determined with an absolute error smaller than 0.0022 cm^{-1} . As mentioned but not explicitly explained the calculation in that paper was done with a Schrödinger operator transformed in symmetry adapted coordinates

$$u = y + z, \quad v = y - z$$

using the notation as in [2].

* Permanent address: Rechenzentrum der Universität Düsseldorf

If the nuclear motion is taken into account the corresponding Schrödinger operator in these coordinates is

$$H = H^{(\infty)} + H^{(\kappa)}, \quad H^{(\infty)} = H_0 + V$$

with

$$H_0 = \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{2}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} - \frac{4u}{u^2 - v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} + \frac{4v}{u^2 - v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \\ -\frac{2u(x^2 - v^2)}{x(u^2 - v^2)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial u} + \frac{2v(x^2 - u^2)}{x(u^2 - v^2)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial v} \end{cases}$$

$$V = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{8u}{u^2 - v^2}$$

$$H^{(\kappa)} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{4}{u^2 - v^2} \left[(x^2 - u^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} - 2u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - (x^2 - v^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} + 2v \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right]$$

with

$$\kappa = 2 \frac{m_k}{m_e} = 14698,36 \quad (m_k = m^4_{\text{He}}).$$

These coordinates have the advantage that all integrals needed for the calculation of $\|H\psi\|^2$ and $(H\psi, \psi)$ have a remarkably simple form and could be expressed explicitly as it is shown in the appendix.

Because $\|H\psi_{prs}\| < \infty$ for the used basis functions

$$\psi_{prs} = x^p u^r v^s e^{-\alpha u}, \quad \alpha > 0 \quad (1)$$

all $\psi_{prs} \in D_H$ with D_H as the domain of H .

2. The Calculation of the Eigenvalues

The determination of approximate values λ^* and the corresponding errors F^* for 1E_0 , 3E_0 and 1E_1 was done with the linearized method of variance minimization and the Wieland iteration, which yields a very effective method for the calculation of the absolutely lowest eigenvalue. With the trick mentioned in [3] every isolated eigenvalue could be made the absolutely smallest.

In order to obtain good lower bounds Temple's formula

$$E_i \geq E_i^* = \lambda_i^* - \frac{F_i^*}{\rho_i - \lambda_i^*} \quad (2)$$

– notation as in [1] – was used for the lowest singlet- and triplet S -states as well as for the first excited S -state in the singlet system.

It has to be kept in mind that the application of Temple's formula demands a ρ_i with $E_i < \rho_i < E_{i+1}$ where E_{i+1} is the immediately following eigenvalue of E_i of H in the considered Hilbert-spaces. Remember that the singlet- and the

Table 1. The λ^* - and F^* -values for 1E_0 , 3E_0 and 1E_1 of the ^4He -Isotop in a.u.

$\dim V_n$	$^1\lambda_0^*$	$^1F_0^* \cdot 10^8$	$^3\lambda_0^*$	$^3F_0^* \cdot 10^8$	$^1\lambda_1^*$	$^1F_1^* \cdot 10^6$
203	-2.903304194449 ₇	749.72600	-2.174932364262 ₂	12.26050	-2.145483862 ₈	34.59500
308	-2.903307512057 ₃	49.08290	-2.174932422304 ₁	1.85902	-2.145656587 ₇	5.42210
444	-2.903307682372 ₆	7.12253	-2.174932424415 ₃	0.57123	-2.145678144 ₉	0.98774
615	-2.903307691372 ₅	2.84683	-2.174932424444 ₃	0.20895	-2.145680517 ₉	0.28778
825	-2.903307692019 ₅	1.55938	-2.174932424544 ₁	0.08399	-2.145680763 ₈	0.13317
946	-2.903307692111 ₉	1.19075	-2.174932424565 ₅	0.05483	-2.145680782 ₆	0.09791
1078	-2.903307692136 ₂	0.92482	-2.174932424578 ₄	0.03644		
1378	-2.903307692182 ₇	0.57383	-2.174932424591 ₆	0.01686		

Table 2. The λ^* and F^* -values for the 3^1S - and 3^3S -state in a.u.

	$\dim V_n$	λ^*	$F^* \cdot 10^4$	$\lambda^* - \sqrt{F^*}$
3^1S	203	-2.0549	9.028	-2.085
3^3S	203	-2.0668	1.724	-2.080

triplet-states respectively are elements of separate symmetry adapted Hilbert-spaces in which the eigenvalue problem and Temple's formula can be formulated independently.

The method of variance minimization gives suitable ρ_i 's if it is ensured that the error interval $[\lambda_i^* - \sqrt{F_i^*}, \lambda_i^* + \sqrt{F_i^*}]$ contains one eigenvalue only, which has to be E_i . In the case of the ^4He -Isotop the latter is guaranteed – for sufficient small F_i^* – by the knowledge of the correct sequence of the eigenvalues from spectral data [4]. Independently suitable ρ_i 's can be chosen from lower bounds of the eigenvalues of the operator $H^{(\infty)}$, because it can be shown that $H^{(\kappa)}$ is a positive operator.

The results of the calculations of the λ_i^* values and corresponding errors F_i^* as a function of the dimension of the vectorspace V_n spanned by the ψ_{prs} with constant α 's in (1) are shown in Table 1. The exponent α was chosen to $\alpha = 3.5$ for $^1\lambda_0^*$, $\alpha = 1.1$ for $^3\lambda_0^*$ and $\alpha = 1.65$ for $^1\lambda_1^*$.

Upper bounds for the E_i are the corresponding λ_i^* . The listing of the Ritz values λ^r [5] is omitted although they are calculated too. As a matter of fact they are rarely better than the corresponding λ^* 's but their errors are considerably worse than the minimal errors F^* obtained with the λ^* 's.

To get lower bounds for the E_i 's the necessary ρ_i 's were evaluated from rough λ^* and F^* values for the 3^1S - and 3^3S -states. Latter were calculated by matrix diagonalization with Householder's method. The results in a.u. are shown in Table 2.

With $^1\rho_1 = -2.085$, $^1\lambda_1^* = -2.145680782_6$ and $^1F_1^* = 9,791 \cdot 10^{-8}$ we get the lower bound $^1E_1^* = -2.1456823_9$ from Eq. (2), i.e.

$$-2.1456823_9 \leq ^1E_1 \leq -2.1456807_9$$

and 1E_1 is determined up to an absolute error smaller than 0.35 cm^{-1} .

With $^1\rho_0 = ^1E_1^*$ and $^3\rho_0 = -2.080$ the bounds for 1E_0 and 3E_0 given above were calculated using the optimal λ^* - and F^* values taken from $\dim V_n = 1378$.

3. The Influence of the Nuclear Motion for the 1^1S and 2^3S -State and the Comparison with the Experimental Data

The calculated eigenvalues E_i for the operator $H = H^{(\infty)} + H^{(\kappa)}$ of the ^4He -Isotop, where the nuclear motion ($H^{(\kappa)} \neq 0$) is taken into account from the beginning,

together with the eigenvalues $E_i^{(\infty)}$ for $H^{(\infty)}$ and the experimental values of Herzberg [6] allow the discussion of two effects: first an accurate estimation of the influence of the nuclear motion, second the calculation of bounds for the relativistic corrections (including the Lamb-shift).

a) Nuclear Motion

The influence of the nuclear motion as a whole is given by the difference

$$\Delta_i = E_i - E_i^{(\infty)}.$$

Bounds for $^1\Delta_0$ and $^3\Delta_0$ are obtained from

$$E_0^* - \lambda_0^{*(\infty)} \leq \Delta_0 \leq \lambda_0^* - E_0^{*(\infty)}$$

with the lower and upper bound $^1E_0^{*(\infty)}$ and $^1\lambda_0^{*(\infty)}$ for $^1E_0^{(\infty)}$ from [1] in a.u.

$$-2.903724386_6 \leq ^1E_0^{(\infty)} \leq -2.903724376_9$$

and the analog values for $^3E_0^{(\infty)}$ from the result of Pekeris [7]

$$-2.175229381_0 \leq ^3E_0^{(\infty)} \leq -2.175229378_2.$$

We get

$$^1\Delta_0 = 91.452 \pm 0.002 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

$$^3\Delta_0 = 65.173 \pm 0.002 \text{ cm}^{-1}.$$

Usually the nuclear motion is taken into account in the manner of Bethe and Salpeter [8], i.e. by the subsequent correction of the $E_i^{(\infty)}$ values, because only these values with the eigenfunctions $\psi_i^{(\infty)}$ are available from calculations in the infinite mass approximation. Apart from the fact, that the electron mass m_e is replaced by the reduced mass $\mu = m_e \cdot m_k / m_e + m_k$ an additional term, the mass-polarization $\varepsilon_m^{(i)}$ appears. Together with the approximated values

$$^1\tilde{\varepsilon}_m^{(0)} = 4.7854 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad ^3\tilde{\varepsilon}_m^{(0)} = 0.2238 \text{ cm}^{-1} \quad (3)$$

from Pekeris [9] the “ μ -correction” of $^1E_0^{(\infty)}$ and $^3E_0^{(\infty)}$ yields the following amounts for the nuclear motion

$$^1\tilde{\Delta}_0 = 91.490 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad ^3\tilde{\Delta}_0 = 65.175 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

obtained from

$$\tilde{\Delta}_i = \frac{m_k}{m_e + m_k} E_i^{(\infty)} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_m^{(i)} - E_i^{(\infty)} = -\frac{2}{2 + \kappa} E_i^{(\infty)} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_m^{(i)}.$$

They are in good agreement with the correct Δ -values given above.

b) The Relativistic Effects

Experimental values for atomic energies are referred to the first ionization potential which was determined for the ^4He -Isotop by Herzberg [6] to

$$I.P. (^4\text{He}) = 198310.8_2 \pm 0.15 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

In order to compare experimental with theoretical values the beginning of the continuum of the operator H has to be known. As was shown first by Žislin [10] for an atomic system the bottom of the continuum of H is identical with the groundstate of the operator for the corresponding ionic-system with the one electron less, i.e.

$$E_0^+ = \inf \sigma_c(H).$$

The groundstate energy of E_0^+ in a.u. for the ${}^4\text{He}^+$ -ion is obtained to

$$E_0^+ = -2 \frac{m_k}{m_e + m_k} = -2 \frac{\kappa}{2 + \kappa} = -1.999727898$$

if the finite nuclear mass of the ${}^4\text{He}$ is taken into account as against -2 a.u. for infinite mass.

With respect to E_0^+ the bounds for the nonrelativistic energies $\Delta E_i = E_i - E_0^+$ are

$$E_0^+ - E_i^* \leq \Delta E_i \leq E_0^+ - \lambda_i^*. \quad (4)$$

Since the experimental values from Herzberg [6] are obtained as wavenumbers ν_{exp} in cm^{-1} the bounds from (4) which are given in a.u. have to be converted into wavenumbers ν 's via the Bohr radius $a_0 = \hbar^2/m_e e^2$.

This yields [11]

$$1 \text{ a.u.} \cong 219474.624 \pm 0.011 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

where the uncertainty of 0.011 cm^{-1} of the conversion factor has to be taken into account and accepted too. The latter is not evident because it is almost beyond the accuracy of which the fundamental constant e , \hbar and m_e are known.

The calculated bounds for the ν 's and the experimental values ν_{exp}^\pm are shown in Table 3.

Bounds for the relativistic corrections (including the Lamb shift) are now obtained from

$$\nu_{\text{exp}}^- - \nu_{\text{max}} \leq \delta_i \leq \nu_{\text{exp}}^+ - \nu_{\text{min}}.$$

We get in cm^{-1}

$$-2.177 \leq {}^1\delta_0 \leq -1.855, \quad 1.730 \leq {}^3\delta_0 \leq 1.835.$$

Pekeris values (relativistic corrections E_j plus Lamb shift) ${}^1\tilde{\delta}_0 = -1.903 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [9] and ${}^3\tilde{\delta}_0 = 1.813 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [7] calculated with the wavefunctions $\psi_i^{(\infty)}$ from $H^{(\infty)}$ are in these limits.

Table 3. Values for $\nu_{\text{max}} = E_0^+ - E_0^*$, $\nu_{\text{min}} = E_0^+ - \lambda_0^*$ and ν_{exp}^\pm in cm^{-1}

	ν_{max}	ν_{min}	ν_{exp}^+	ν_{exp}^-
1^1S	198312.847	198312.825	198310.97	198310.67
2^3S	38452.950	38454.945	38454.78	38454.68

Appendix

Only three types of integrals are necessary for the calculation of $\|H\psi\|$ and $(H\psi, \psi)$. With the volume element

$$d\tau = \frac{1}{8}x(u^2 - v^2) dx dv du$$

and the region of integration

$$G: |v| \leq x \leq u, \quad |v| \leq u, \quad 0 \leq u \leq \infty$$

we have for $p = -1, 0, \dots$; $r, s = 0, 1, \dots$; $q = p + r + s$

$$1. \quad I_1 = \int_G x^p u^r v^s e^{-\alpha u} d\tau = \frac{(q+2)!}{(s+1)(s+p+2)} \alpha^{-(9+3)}$$

2. $p \neq -1$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_G \frac{x^p u^r v^s}{u^2 - v^2} e^{-\alpha u} d\tau \\ &= \frac{q!}{p+1} \alpha^{-q-1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{[p+1/2]} \frac{1}{p+s-2\nu+2} + \varepsilon_p \left[\ln 2 - \sum_{\nu=1}^s \frac{(-1)^\nu}{\nu} \right] \\ \varepsilon_{2\mu} &= 1, \quad \varepsilon_{2\mu+1} = 0, \quad [x] \text{ greatest whole number } \leq x, \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^0 \dots = 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. $p = -1$

$$I_3 = \int_G \frac{u^r v^s}{x(u^2 - v^2)} e^{-\alpha u} d\tau = q! \alpha^{-q-1} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{8} - \sum_{\nu=1}^s \frac{1}{(2\nu-1)^2} \right]$$

Acknowledgement. We appreciate the assistance of Dr. G. Petermann Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Düsseldorf, in translating this paper.

References

1. Kleindienst, H., Müller, W.: Theoret. Chim. Acta (Berl.) **56**, 183 (1980)
2. Kleindienst, H., Baumgarten, E., Altmann, W.: Intern. J. Quantum Chem. **10**, 887 (1976)
3. See Ref. [1], Eq. (7)
4. Bashkin, S., Stoner, J.: Atomic energy levels and Grotian diagrams, Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing (1975)
5. Kolos, W., Roothaan, C. C. J., Sack, R. A.: Rev. Mod. Phys. **32**, 178 (1960)
6. Herzberg, G.: Proc. Roy. Soc. London **A248**, 309 (1958)
7. Pekeris, C. L.: Phys. Rev. **126**, 1470 (1962), Table IV
8. Bethe, H. A., Salpeter, E. E.: Quantum mechanics of one- and two-electron atoms, p. 166. Berlin: Springer-Verlag (1957)
9. Pekeris, C. L.: Phys. Rev. **115**, 1216 (1959), Table I and V
10. Žislín, G. M.: Dokl. Akad. Nauk **122**, 331 (1958), (Russian)
11. Taylor, B., Parker, W., Langenberg, D.: Rev. Mod. Phys. **41**, 375 (1969)

Received February 16, 1981